

Exercises to the lecture  
Semantics  
Sheet 8

Prof. Dr. Roland Meyer  
Jan Grünke

Delivery until 03.07.2025 at 16:45

**Exercise 8.1** ( $r$ -Bad Sequences)

We consider in this exercise a generalisation of good sequences: a sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  over a qo  $(X, \leq)$  is  $r$ -good if we can extract an increasing subsequence of length  $r + 1$ , i.e. if there exist  $r + 1$  indices  $i_0 < \dots < i_r$  s.t.  $x_{i_0} \leq \dots \leq x_{i_r}$ . A sequence is  $r$ -bad if it is not  $r$ -good. Thus good and bad stand for 1-good and 1-bad respectively. By characterizations of wqos,  $r$ -bad sequences over a wqo are always finite. Let  $(X, \leq, |\cdot|)$  be a nwqo. Then, similar to bad  $(g, n)$ -controlled sequences we define the maximal length of an  $r$ -bad  $(g, n)$ -controlled sequence over  $X$  to be  $L_{r,g,X}(n)$ .

Our purpose is to show that questions about the length of  $r$ -bad sequences reduce to questions about bad sequences:  $L_{r,g,X}(n) = L_{g,X \times \Gamma_r}(n)$ .

1. Show that such a maximal  $(g, n)$ -controlled  $r$ -bad sequence is  $(r - 1)$ -good.
2. Show  $L_{r,g,X}(n) \leq L_{g,X \times \Gamma_r}(n)$  by transforming a  $r$ -bad sequence over the nwqo  $X$  into a bad sequence over the nwqo  $X \times \Gamma_r$ .

*Hint: Use the following definition of goodness in your transformation.* Given a sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_l$  over  $X$ , an index  $i$  is  $p$ -good if it starts an increasing subsequence of length  $p + 1$ , i.e. if there exist indices  $i = i_0 < \dots < i_p$  s.t.  $x_{i_0} \leq \dots \leq x_{i_p}$ . The *goodness* of an index  $i$  is the largest  $p$  s.t.  $i$  is  $p$ -good.

3. Show the converse, i.e. that  $L_{r,g,X}(n) \geq L_{g,X \times \Gamma_r}(n)$  by transforming a  $r$ -good sequence over  $X$  into a good sequence over  $X \times \Gamma_r$ .

**Exercise 8.2** (Complexity of Karp and Miller Trees)

Recall that a  $(d$ -dimensional) vector addition system (VAS) is a finite subset  $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$  together with an initial marking  $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}^d$ . A transition  $t \in T$  is *enabled* in a marking  $s \in \mathbb{N}^d$  if  $s' := s + t \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , we write  $s \xrightarrow{t} s'$ . In this exercise we want to determine the time complexity of the algorithm that constructs the Karp Miller tree (KM tree) for a given VAS. Recall that the KM tree is a tree that has nodes labeled by generalized markings  $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\})^d$  and edges labeled by transitions. The algorithm constructs the tree as follows:

1. The root is labeled by  $s_0$ .
2. Repeat: For each leaf  $s_l$  with path  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_l$  and for each transition  $s_l \xrightarrow{t} s_c$  so that  $s_c \not\leq s_i$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, l$  we add a new  $t$ -labeled edge to a child with label

$$s'_c := \begin{cases} s_c + \omega \cdot (s_c - s_i) & \text{for smallest } i \text{ with } s_c > s_i, \\ s_c & \text{if no such } i \text{ exists.} \end{cases}$$

Determine the running time of the KM tree construction for a fixed dimension  $d$ .

*Hint: Use the result from the previous exercise.*