SS 2025 05.06.2025

## Exercises to the lecture Semantics Sheet 6

Prof. Dr. Roland Meyer

Jan Grünke

Delivery until 19.04.2025 at 16:45

## Exercise 6.1 (Transition Invariants)

Consider the program p, given by

1: while 
$$x > 0$$
 and  $y > 0$  do  
2: if \* then  
3:  $x := x - 1$   
4: else  
5:  $x := *$   
6:  $y := y - 1$ 

8: end while

7:

end if

and the following transition invariant  $T:=T_x\cup T_y\bigcup_{i,j\in[1,8]}T_{ij}$  with

$$T_{ij} := pc = i \land pc' = j$$
$$T_x := x \ge 0 \land x' < x$$
$$T_y := y \ge 0 \land y' < y.$$

- (a) Justify why T is a disjunctive well-founded transition invariant.
- (b) Is T also an inductive transition invariant? Explain.
- (c) State the proof rule used to establish termination via inductive transition invariants.
- (d) Demonstrate that the program p terminates by applying this rule. First, express the program p as a symbolic transition system. Then, strengthen your transition invariant and prove that it is inductive.

## Exercise 6.2 (Transition Predicate Abstraction)

Consider the following control flow graph for the program from the previous exercise:

$$\tau_1: \underset{y'=y}{\overset{x>0,y>0}{\longleftrightarrow}} \underset{y'=y-1}{\overset{\downarrow}{\smile}} \tau_2: \underset{y'=y-1}{\overset{x>0,y>0}{\longleftrightarrow}}$$

Let  $\mathcal{P} := \{x' = x - 1, y' = y - 1, y' = y\}$  be the set of transition predicates.

We aim to prove that the program p terminates under any fairness condition using transition predicate abstraction. Since we are not concerned with a specific fairness condition, we treat all nodes as fair. Thus, we verify whether  $T_v$  is well-founded for every node v in the abstract transition program.

- (a) Provide the set of all abstract transitions, denoted as  $T_{\mathcal{P}}^{\#}$ .
- (b) Using the algorithm discussed in the lecture, compute the abstract transition program  $p^{\#}$  for the set of transition predicates  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- (c) Do all nodes in  $p^{\#}$  represent abstract transitions that are well-founded? If not, introduce additional predicates to ensure that termination of the original program p can be proven.

## Exercise 6.3 (LTL to Büchi)

Consider the formula  $\varphi = (p \land \bigcirc \neg p) \mathcal{U} (p \land \bigcirc p)$ .

- (a) Write down the Fisher-Ladner closure  $FL(\varphi)$ .
- (b) Does a consistent Hintikka set H exists such that  $\{\neg p, \varphi\} \subseteq H$ ?
- (c) Construct the generalized Büchi automata  $A_{\varphi}$  according to the algorithm from the lecture. You may consider only inclusion minimal Hintikka sets and leave out unreachable states.
- (d) Give a reachable state in  $A_{\varphi}$  that has no successors.