

Proof of the Length Function Theorem

- Step 1:
- Study properties of controlled bad sequences.
 - Derive a bounding-function $M_{g,H}(n)$ for the length of (g,n) -controlled bad sequences.
- Step 2:
- Study properties of the functions $M_{g,H}(n)$.
 - Classify them in the Grzegorzczyk hierarchy.

Residual NWQOs and a Descent Equation

- In the case of N , we have $L_{g,N}(n) = n+1$.
The longest (g,n) -controlled bad sequence is $\underbrace{n, n-1, \dots, 1, 0}_{n+1 \text{ demands.}}$

- Actually, the sequence only shows $\boxed{L_{g,N}(n) \geq n+1}$.

We have not argued why it is the longest.

Here is a sketch.

In every (g,n) -controlled bad sequence $k, l, m, \dots$, once $k \leq n$ (by control) has been fixed, the remaining elements $l, m, \dots$ have to stem

from $\{0, \dots, k-1\}$ of size k .

So the suffix, which is again bad, is of length at most

$L_{g,T_k}(n) = k$, by the pigeonhole principle.

Choosing $k=n$ maximizes the length of the suffix,

so $\boxed{L_{g,N}(n) \leq n+1}$.

Strategy:

Consider a (g, n) -controlled bad sequence $a_0 a_1 \dots a_n$ over an rwq $\mathcal{U}$.

Distinguish between a_0 with $|a_0| \leq n$
and the suffix $a_1 \dots a_n$.

The suffix satisfies

- $a_0 \neq a_i$ for all i : (otherwise the full sequence was good)
- it is bad — " —
- it is $(g, g(n))$ -controlled (otherwise the full sequence was not controlled).