

Advanced Simulations for unsteady turbulent flows in applied aerodynamics

Sébastien DECK

Applied Aerodynamics Department sebastien.deck@onera.fr

ONERA

THE FRENCH AEROSPACE LAB

Unsteadiness in aerodynamics

- RANS/URANS massively used in design (optimization, uncertainties) and for multidisciplinary coupling (flight mechanics, optics, ...)
- When the three-dimensional turbulent unsteady field is required...





Serious implications → Achievable performance → Safety – Acoustic environment → accurate prediction ASAP in the design



Second Symposium "Simulation of Wing and Nacelle Stall", June 22nd - 23rd, 2010, Braunschweig, Germany

Applied Aerodynamics Department

Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS)

- DNS concept: "capture" all active scales
- 1. Turbulence production (instabilities, ...)
- 2. Inter scale energy transfer (Kinetic Energy Cascade)
- 3. Turbulent dissipation (viscous effects)



Direct Numerical Simulation. Cont'd



Contents

- Scale separation. Basics of RANS and LES.
- Hybrid RANS LES approaches. DDES and ZDES.
- Examples of recent applications.
- Further discussion and remaining challenges.
- Conclusions.

Mode reduction

• Key idea: cost reduction = small scale elimination

Therefore:

- Need for a scale separation operator
- Resolved scales and unresolved scales
- Model for resolved/unresolved scale interactions

Families of operators

- Statistical average → RANS
- Small scale elimination →LES
- Combination → Hybrid RANS/LES

5

ONERA

$$f(\mathbf{x},t) \ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$$

 \mathcal{F} : scale separation operator



Scale separation. Cont'd

ONERA

$$f = \overline{f} + f'$$
RANS formalism: $\overline{f} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} f_i$

$$\overline{\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \overline{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \cdot (\overline{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{u}}) = -\nabla \overline{p} + \nu \nabla^2 \overline{\mathbf{u}} - \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{T}_{RANS}}$$
LES formalism: $\overline{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) = G \star f(\mathbf{x}, t)$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\Omega} G(\overline{\Delta}(\mathbf{x}, t), \mathbf{x} - \xi, t - t') \cdot f(\xi, t') \cdot d\xi \cdot dt'$$

$$\overline{\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \overline{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \cdot (\overline{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{u}}) = -\nabla \overline{p} + \nu \nabla^2 \overline{\mathbf{u}} - \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{T}_{SGS}}$$
-RANS & LES equations are formally identical but with fundamentally different scales

Scale separation. Cont'd

$$\tau_{RANS} = \overline{\mathbf{u}' \otimes \mathbf{u}'}$$

 \rightarrow A mathematical closure has to be introduced to represent the effect of the Reynolds stresses (first order closures, second-order analysis, ...)



ONERA

ONERA

 \rightarrow Need for model for the interaction of the non resolved scales on the resolved scales

$$\tau_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}\tau_{kk}\delta_{ij} = -2\nu_t \overline{S}_{ij}$$

Towards hybrid RANS/LES ...

switch in eddy/subgrid viscosity

S.Deck / Applied Aer · Direct change in subgrid viscosity scaling

11

namics Department

Contents

- Scale separation. Basics of RANS and LES.
- Hybrid RANS LES approaches. DDES and ZDES.
- Examples of recent applications.
- Further discussion and remaining challenges.
- S.Deck / Applied Aerodynamics Department · Conclusions.



Motivations of hybrid RANS/LES

Motivation : combine the best features of RANS and LES



Second Symposium "Simulation of Wing and Nacelle Stall", June 22nd - 23rd, 2010, Braunschweig, Germany

S.Deck / Applied Aerodynamics Department

14

Motivations of hybrid RANS/LES (cont'd)



- Very different frequency content between RANS and LES approaches
- Jump in the wave number content of the solution through the interface
- Additional difficulty : no precise definition of the effective filter operator
- Note: numerical schemes \rightarrow additional dissipation ...
- Deck / Applied The scheme acts as a numerical filter which damps the highest resolved frequencies

ONERA 15

Classification of unsteady approaches Modeling level \equiv Compromise solution Computational cost / degrees of freedom (physics / CPU cost) DNS (NS, LBM) no model model ncreasing model influence LES Hybrid RANS/LES of (DES, SAS, ...) Limit = DNS Limit ≠ DNS Unsteady RANS unsteady steady Level of modeling/readiness RANS amics Depart global methods: continuous treatment of the flow variables at the interface \rightarrow LES content generated progressively through a grey zone zonal methods: discontinuous treatment of the RANS/LES interface \rightarrow definition of interface variables to construct a transfer operator at the interface

For a complete review, see Sagaut P., Deck S., Terracol, M. (2006) Multiscale and Multiresolution approaches in turbulence. Imperial College Press, UK, 356 pp

ONERA

Detached Eddy Simulation – Modelled Stress Depletion – Grid Induced Separation

DES97 (Spalart et al. , 1997) $\tilde{\upsilon} \sim S\tilde{d}^2 \quad \tilde{d} = \max(d, C_{DES}\Delta) \quad \Delta = \max(\Delta_x, \Delta_y, \Delta_z)$

• natural use in thin boundary layers and in massive separation



Detached Eddy Simulation – Modelled Stress Depletion – Grid Induced Separation



Solutions against GIS: Delayed Detached Eddy Simulation

- · Ref. DDES: P.R. Spalart, S.Deck, M.L. Shur, K.D. Squires, M.K. Strelets, A. Travin. TCFD, vol 20,2006
- modification of the length scale $\tilde{d} = d_w f_d \max(0., d_w C_{DES}\Delta)$

$$\begin{cases} f_d = 1 & \tilde{d} = \min(d_w, C_{DES}\Delta) \\ f_d = 0 & RANS \end{cases}$$

• the model "refuses" LES mode, if it believes it is in a boundary layer but can delay too much the switch into LES mode



ZDES

- ZDES differs from DES97/DDES: hybrid & subgrid length scales, treatment of the near wall functions.
- Let us consider a multi-domain mesh made of N blocs and let $ides(ndom)_{1 < ndom < N}$ be a label such as:

ides[ndom] = 0 if domain ndom is in RANS mode ides[ndom] = 1 if domain ndom is in DES mode

ONERA

The new ZDES length scale becomes:

 $\tilde{d}_{ZDES} = (1 - ides [ndom]) . d_w + ides [ndom] . d_{DES}^{I or II}$ (*)

The hybrid length scale d^{I,II}_{DES} is chosen depending on the problem of interest and combines "initial" version of ZDES (Deck, AIAA J., 2005) with the best features of DDES: Applied Aerody

- 1. Separation onset fixed by the geometry : $\tilde{d}_{DES}^{I} = \min(d_w, C_{DES}\Delta)$
- 2. Separation on a smooth surface: $\tilde{d}_{DES}^{II} = d_w f_d \max(0, d_w C_{DES}\Delta)$ **zonal** definition of the subgrid length scale $\Delta = f(Vol^{1/3}, \Delta_{max}, f_d)$

ZDES (cont'd)



Contents

- Scale separation. Basics of RANS and LES.
- Hybrid RANS LES approaches. DDES and ZDES.
- Examples of recent applications.
- Further discussion and remaining challenges.
- Conclusions.

Second Symposium "Simulation of Wing and Nacelle Stall", June 22nd - 23rd, 2010, Braunschweig, Germany

ONERA

4. Applications



ONERA

S.Deck / Applied Aerodynamics Department

23

ZDES of transonic buffet loads on Ariane 5 launcher (flow of category I)



Zonal-Detached Eddy Simulation of a Civil Aircraft Engine Jet Configuration (flow of category I & II)



Diameter of turbofan engines for civil transport aircraft ↑ → Engines are closer and closer to the wing → Important/complex engine/wing interactions (mixing,...) Experimental configuration (ONERA S3Ch WT) → Wall to wall swept wing equipped with pylon/engine → Nxyz=40.10⁶ pts – 73 blocs - patch grid technique → Both d^I_{DES} & d^{II}_{DES} may be used in the same calculation



DDES of supersonic buzz in a rectangular mixed compression inlet (flow of category II)



ZDES of transonic buffet (flow of category II)



Contents

- Scale separation. Basics of RANS and LES.
- Hybrid RANS LES approaches. DDES and ZDES.
- Examples of recent applications.
- Further discussion and remaining challenges
- Conclusions

Second Symposium "Simulation of Wing and Nacelle Stall", June 22nd - 23rd, 2010, Braunschweig, Germany

S.Deck / Applied Aerodynamics Department

DISCUSSION. Wall turbulence simulation at High Reynolds number



- Natural use of "DES-type" methods: TBL treated in RANS mode
 → inappropriate in flows situations sensitized to the history of the
- → inappropriate in flows situations sensitized to the history of the upstream turbulence (flow of category III)
- RANS provides a solution that varies with a time-scale >> Δt
- LES solves small 3D structures
- \rightarrow the inlet TBL has to account for these small eddies

29

S.Deck / Applied Aerodynamics Department

DISCUSSION. Wall turbulence simulation at High Reynolds number



DIFFICULTIES:

- Regenerate wall turbulence from only a statistical description !
- Prescription of a flowfield of the same nature as the one expected by the simulation

One has to specify an unsteady vortical flowfield that satisfies if possible :

- energy spectra
- 1st and 2nd order statistics

• Phase correlation between the different modes: the most « tricky » since it describes the shape of the eddies, *i.e.* the intricate nature of turbulence

Aerodynamics Department

Applied

S.Deck

ONERA

Second Symposium "Simulation of Wing and Nacelle Stall", June 22nd - 23rd, 2010, Braunschweig, Germany

A critical example: junction flows. (flows of category III)

- A major and recurring issue (at the limit of the flight envelope)
 - Corner separation at junctions
 - Matters of concern : aircraft certification, drag, design stages
 - inability to anticipate corner separations in a reliable way



Numerical study of a junction flow. (flows of category III)

- Test case
 - Simplified wing/body junction
 - **ONERA** experiment
 - Re_e=2100. Re_c=3.10⁵ M=0.15.
- RANS

S.Deck / Applied Aerodynamics Department

32

- Consensus on corner flow separation
- Low dependence on the model
- No experimental separation
- Mean flow well predicted by LES

(F.Gand, PhD, ONERA)



Towards Wall turbulence simulation at High Reynolds number. (flows of category III)



Conclusion

- Development of Hybrid RANS/LES methods is still (and for a long time) necessary
- Hybrid RANS/LES offers an already quite interesting domain of applications
- → Importance of user decision load : zonal or non-zonal treatment of turbulence
- \rightarrow Non-zonal methods are more "user-friendly" BUT
- \rightarrow Is a « black-box push button » method realistic/utopist ?
- \rightarrow Zonal and non-zonal hybrid methods will both grow
- Next foreseen challenges in applied numerical aerodynamics:

Second Symposium "Simulation of Wing and Nacelle Stall", June 22nd - 23rd, 2010, Braunschweig, Germany

- \rightarrow capture of TBL dynamics (transition & pressure-gradient driven separation)
- \rightarrow capability to handle accurately complex configurations with validated unsteady tools
- \rightarrow account for uncertainties (definition of the models, data of different nature)

ONERA

 \rightarrow requirement of adequate experimental data base which are still missing

S.Deck

Depar